

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/242,219	07/17/2000	Sergey Ivanovich Miroshnichenko	990056.	9789
7590 09/10/2004			EXAMINER	
TIMOTHY TELYMONDE			MOE, AUNG SOE	
CARES BUILT, INC. 75 MANCHESTER AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KEYPORT, NJ 07735			2612	14
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004	, 1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/242,219	MIROSHNICHENKO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Aung S. Moe	2612			
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR	R REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MG	ONTH(S) FROM			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. lays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirtyory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on				
	☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for					
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the app	olication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to t	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is required if the drawing((s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Apthe priority documents have been	pplication No			
application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action f	, , , ,	received.			
Attachment(s) 1)	4) 🗖 Intencious S	Summary (PTO-413)			
 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (P10-892) 2) \(\sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 	948) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2</u>. 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) —·			

Application/Control Number: 09/242,219 Page 2

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to <u>a single paragraph on</u> <u>a separate sheet</u> within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the Abstract should be on a separate sheet (i.e., noted that the current Abstract is located on pages 62-63 of the specification. It is also noted that the Abstract should be labeled as "Abstract" not "PAPER" as set forth in page 62.). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/242,219

Art Unit: 2612

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.**
- (i) **CLAIM OR CLAIMS** (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Application/Control Number: 09/242,219

Art Unit: 2612

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The specification of instant application should includes the section heading, such as "BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION", "BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION", "BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S)", "DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION", and "CLAIM OR CLAIMS".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There are insufficient antecedent basis for the limitations in the claims 1-14, for example, in claim 1, lines 2, "the analog-digital convert" should be changed to - - an analog-digital converter - -; in line 2, "the videosignal" should be changed to - - a video signal - -; in line 5, "the central processing unit" should be changed to - - a central processing unit - -; in line 6, "the PC" should be changed to - - a PC - -; in line 6, "the output" should be changed to - - an output - -; in line 7, "the multichannel" should be changed to - - a multi channel - -; in line 8, "the synchronistator" should be changed to - - a synchronizer - -; in line 8, "by this the indicated corrector" should be changed to - - the corrector - -; in line 12, "the synchronization output" should be changed to - - a synchronization output - -; in line 13, "the clock input" should be

Application/Control Number: 09/242,219

Art Unit: 2612

changed to - - a clock input - -; in lines 14, "the address" should be changed to - - an address - -; in line 14, "the indicated corrector" should be changed to - - said corrector - -.

Furthermore, claims 2-14 also contain similar antecedent basis problem as discussed above for claim 1. In view of this, the Applicant is respectfully requested to review all the claims (1-14) to correct the entire antecedent basis problem. The Applicant is hereby suggested that if the claimed limitation is recited "first time" in the claim, then the Applicant is advised to use the word "a" instead of "the/said" in front of the claimed limitation in order to avoid an antecedent basis problem (i.e., please noted the Examiner suggestion for claim 1 as listed above).

Moreover, the claims 1-14 are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform to current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. For example, in claim 1, the words such as, "standart" (see line 2), "geametric" (see line 7), "synchronisator" (see line 8), "synchronisator" (see line 11), "synchronisated" (see line 15), and similar spelling/idiomatic errors can be found in claim 3 (line 3), claim 6 (lines 7 and 16) and others. In view of this, the Applicant is hereby requested to correct such grammatical and idiomatic errors in order to define the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Furthermore, claims 2-14 are considered indefinite because their dependency is unclear. For example, claims 2-14 merely recite p.1, p.3, p.6, p.8, p.10, and p.12 in the first sentence of the claim, thus, it is unclear whether "p" is referred to the previous "claim"?

Application/Control Number: 09/242,219 Page 6

Art Unit: 2612

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 1-14 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Miroshnichenko et al (EP 0946058 A1) is a co-pending application of current application.
 - b. Jones '302, Lambert '904, and Komiya '911 show a multiple cameras system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aung S. Moe whose telephone number is 703-306-3021. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (9-5).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on 703-305-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aung S. Moe Primary Examiner Art Unit 2612

A. Moe September 6, 2004